07/16/2014 1271904

13-3426-cv Bhanusali v. Orange Reg'l Medical Ctr.

2 3 4

1

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

SUMMARY ORDER

5 6 7

> 8 9

10

11

12 13 14 RULINGS BY SUMMARY ORDER DO NOT HAVE PRECEDENTIAL EFFECT. CITATION TO A SUMMARY ORDER FILED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 2007 IS PERMITTED AND IS GOVERNED BY FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 32.1 AND THIS COURT'S LOCAL RULE WHEN CITING A SUMMARY ORDER IN A DOCUMENT FILED WITH THIS COURT, A PARTY MUST CITE EITHER THE FEDERAL APPENDIX OR AN ELECTRONIC DATABASE (WITH THE NOTATION "SUMMARY ORDER"). A PARTY CITING TO A SUMMARY ORDER MUST SERVE A COPY OF IT ON ANY PARTY NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL.

15 16 17

18

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 16th day of July, two thousand fourteen.

19 20 21

PRESENT: GUIDO CALABRESI,
GERARD E. LYNCH,
RAYMOND J. LOHIER, JR.,
Circuit Judges.
GOVINDLAL K. BHANUSALI, M.D., GOVINDLAL K. BHANUSALI, M.D., PC,

27 28 29

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

30

31 No. 13-3426-cv v.

32

33 ORANGE REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER, domestic nonprofit organization, CRYSTAL RUN 34 HEALTHCARE LLP, BOARD OF DIRECTORS 35 OF THE ORANGE REGIONAL MEDICAL 36 37 CENTER, HAL D. TEITELBAUM, M.D., individually and as Chief Executive Officer of 38 Crystal Run Health Care, SCOTT BATULIS, 39 individually and as President and Chief 40 **Executive Officer of Orange Regional Medical** 41

1	Center, GREGORY SPE	ENCER, M.D., individually
2	and as Chairman of Sta	off and as Chairman of the
3	Medical Executive Con	nmittee at Orange Regional
4	Medical Center, GERA	RD J. GALARNEAU,
5	M.D., individually and	as Chief of Staff at Orange
6		er, JAMES E. OXLEY, D.O.,
7	individually and as Vio	ce President Medical
8	Affairs/Medical Directo	or of Orange Regional
9	Medical Center, KEVIN	
10	individually and as the	
11	Orthopedics at Orange	Regional Medical Center,
12		RILLO, M.D., individually
13	and as the Vice Chair o	<u>-</u>
14	Department at ORMC,	LOU HEIMBACH aka
15	Lou Heimbach, M.D.,	
16		
17		Defendants-Appellees.*
18		
19		
20	FOR APPELLANTS:	Seth Marcus, Leffler Marcus & McCaffrey LLC,
21		New York, NY.
22		
23	FOR APPELLEES:	Douglas P. Catalano, Neil G. Sparber, and
24		Samantha E. Beltre, Fulbright & Jaworski LLP,
25		New York, NY, for Orange Regional Medical
26		Center, Board of Directors of the Orange Regional
27		Medical Center, Lou Heimbach, Scott Batulis,
28		Gerard J. Galarneau, M.D., and James E. Oxley,
29		D.O.
30		
31		Michelle E. Phillips and Michael L. Abitabilo,
32		Jackson Lewis P.C., White Plains, NY, for Crystal
33		Run Healthcare LLP, Hal D. Teitelbaum, M.D.,
34		Christopher Inzerillo, M.D., Gregory Spencer,
35		M.D., and Kevin Trapp, M.D.
36		

^{*} The Clerk of the Court is directed to amend the caption of this case as set forth above.

1	FOR AMICI CURIAE Andrew J. Schlafly, Esq., Far Hills, NJ. ASSOCIATION OF
2 3	AMERICAN
4	PHYSICIANS AND
5	AMERICAN
6	ASSOCIATION OF
7	PHYSICIANS OF
8 9	INDIAN ORIGIN: Appeal from a judgment of the United States District Court for the
	Appeal from a judgment of the United States District Court for the
10	Southern District of New York (Cathy Seibel, <i>Judge</i>).
11	UPON DUE CONSIDERATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED,
12	AND DECREED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED in part
13	and VACATED and REMANDED in part.
14	Plaintiffs-appellants Govindlal K. Bhanusali, an Asian Indian-American
15	orthopedic surgeon, and Govindlal K. Bhanusali, M.D., P.C. (collectively,
16	"Bhanusali") allege that Orange Regional Medical Center, Crystal Run Health
17	Care LLP, and various individual doctors affiliated with those entities
18	(collectively, "defendants") conspired in a "sham peer review" to deny Bhanusali
19	promotion, advancement opportunities, medical privileges, and clinical
20	contracts. On appeal Bhanusali argues that the District Court erred in granting
21	defendants' motion to dismiss his antitrust and employment discrimination
22	claims. We assume the parties' familiarity with the facts and record of the prior
23	proceedings, to which we refer only as necessary to explain our decision to
24	affirm in part and vacate and remand in part.
25	Bhanusali first argues that he adequately pled an "antitrust injury" as
26	required to establish antitrust standing. Assuming arguendo without deciding
27	that Bhanusali has antitrust standing under § 1 of the Sherman Act, we agree
28	with the District Court's determination that his allegations of harm to the
29	marketplace and the existence of defendants' conspiracy to engage in an

1	"unlawful group boycott" are conclusory and implausible.
2	However, the District Court did err in dismissing Bhanusali's claims of
3	discrimination at the motion to dismiss stage. Bhanusali alleged that he received
4	peer reviews or was disciplined for surgeries that resulted in neither patient
5	complaints nor negative patient outcomes. In comparison, he alleged that
6	younger, white physicians at the same medical facility who engaged in conduct
7	that caused significantly worse outcomes for patients, including death, avoided
8	any peer review or discipline. On a motion to dismiss, drawing all reasonable
9	inferences in Bhanusali's favor, we conclude that these allegations suffice to
10	plausibly support an inference of discrimination.
11	We have considered Bhanusali's remaining arguments and conclude that
12	they are without merit. For the foregoing reasons, the judgment of the District
13	Court is AFFIRMED in part and VACATED and REMANDED in part.
14	
15	FOR THE COURT:
16	Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court
17	STED STAD
18 19	Catherine SECOND * CREUT * CREUT *
-/	Catherine Hopaut